

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| 10/774,724 | 02/09/2004 | Afshin Momtaz | BU3393 | 9221 | |
| Brake Hughes | 7590 12/09/200 PLC | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| C/O Intellevat | e | BELLO, AGUSTIN | | | |
| P.O. Box 52050 Minneapolis, MN 55402 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2613 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 12/09/2008 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|--|
| 10/774,724 | MOMTAZ ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Agustin Bello | 2613 | | |

| | Agustin Bello | 2613 | |
|--|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 30 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | R ALLOWANCE. | |
| M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must limely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | date of the final rejection | n. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date thave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of valued to five 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sest for thin (b) above, if checked. Any pely received by the Office there may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL. | ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further core (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | sideration and/or search (see NOT | | cause |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or | er form for appeal by materially rec | | ne issues for |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c | | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1: | | | TOL 004) |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | mpliant Amendment (i | OL-324). |
| Mewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | imely filed amendmer | t canceling the |
| 7. If or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | be entered and an e | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fail: | to provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| | /Agustin Bello/ | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2613

Continuation of 3. NOTE: applicant has failed to incorporate the limitations of claims 3, 9, and 17 from which objected claims 6, 12, and 20 depend.

Continuation of 13. Other: applicant has failed to rewrite in independent form the objected dependent claims so that they include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claim.